

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:
STEVEN C. BRUESS
MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.
P.O. BOX 2903
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903

PCT


NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF
THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND
THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL
SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION

(PCT Rule 44.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)	22 AUG 2006
Applicant's or agent's file reference 14123.4W001	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No. PCT/US04/40038	International filing date (day/month/year) 30 November 2004 (30.11.2004)
Applicant CHIOU CONSULTING INC	

1. ☒ The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.
- Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:**
The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the international application (see Rule 46):
- When?** The time limit for filing such amendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international search report.
- Where?** Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland. Facsimile No.: (41-22) 338.82.70.
- For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.
2. ☐ The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
3. ☐ With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:
- ☐ the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.
- ☐ no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.
4. **Reminders**
- Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.
- The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.
- Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.
- In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.
- See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No.: 571-273-3201

Authorized officer: 
Sreeni Padmanabhan, Ph.D.
Telephone No.

Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)

(See notes on accompanying sheet)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 14123 4WO01	FOR FURTHER ACTION see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, item 5 below	
International application No PCT/US04/40038	International filing date (day/month/year) 30 November 2004 (30.11.2004)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 04 December 2003 (04.12.2003)
Applicant CHIOU CONSULTING INC.		

This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.

This international search report consists of a total of 2 sheets.



It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.

I. Basis of the Report

a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of:



the international application in the language in which it was filed.



a translation of the international application into _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))

b. ☐

With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.

2. ☐ Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box No. II)

3. ☐ Unity of invention is lacking (See Box No. III)

4. With regard to the title,



the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.



the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:

5. With regard to the abstract,



the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.



the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.

6. With regard to the drawings,

a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. _____



as suggested by the applicant.



as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.



as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.

b. ☐ none of the figures is to be published with the abstract.

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (April 2005)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/40038

A CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC: A61K 33/32(2006.01),33/26(2006.01)

USPC 424/639.641.647
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
U S : 424/639.641.647

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)
EAST

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5,866,168 A (DE LACHARRIERE et al) 02 February 1999(02.02.1999) , see entire document.	1-7,16-17
Y	Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences, 18th ed., 1990, page 768.	1-12, 14-16, 19-21
Y	US 4,595,591 A (MARDI et al) 17 June 1986(17.06.1986), see entire document.	1, 2, 4,9, 13, 18

<input type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C		<input type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex	
* Special categories of cited documents - "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance - "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date - "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) - "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means - "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention - "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone - "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art - "&" document member of the same patent family	
Date of the actual completion of the international search 30 May 2006 (30.05.2006)		Date of mailing of the international search report 22 AUG 2006	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201		Authorized officer Sreeni Padmanabhan, PhD. Telephone No. 571.272.1600	

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (April 2005)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITYTo
STEVEN C. BRUESS
MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.
P O BOX 2903
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 22 AUG 2006	
Applicant's or agent's file reference 14123.4WO01	
FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. PCT/US04/40038	International filing date (day/month/year) 30 November 2004 (30.11.2004)
Priority date (day/month/year) 04 December 2003 (04.12.2003)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC: A61K 33/32(2006.01),33/26(2006.01) USPC 424/639,641,647	
Applicant CHIOU CONSULTING INC	

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2 FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220

3 For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Atn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No (571) 273-3201	Date of completion of this opinion 31 May 2006 (31.05.2006)	Authorized officer <i>[Signature]</i> Sreeni Padmanabhan, PhD. Telephone No (571) 272.1600
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Form PCT/ISA.237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No

PCT/US04/40038

Box No. 1 Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

- ☒ the international application in the language in which it was filed
- ☐ a translation of the international application into _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

- ☐ a sequence listing
- ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

- ☐ on paper
- ☐ in electronic form

c. time of filing/furnishing

- ☐ contained in the international application as filed.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. 1) (April 2003)

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/US04/40038

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>3,5-15 and 18-21</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-7 and 16, 17</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>NONE</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-21</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-21</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-7, and 16, 17 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by US 5,866,168 ('168). '168 teaches a method of treating acne and rosacea and removing scars with salts of zinc, manganese, and barium, in an amount of 0.5% to 8% (See the abstract, col. 3, lines 18-19, also claims 1-9)

Claims 1-12, 14-16, 19-21 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences, 18th ed., 1990, page 768 (Remington).

Remington teaches salicylic acid as useful in treating warts, 16.7-17%, and acne, 2% (see col. 1). Remington does not expressly teach the specific salicylate salts herein claimed to be used in the method of treating warts and acne. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ the salt of salicylic acid in the method of treating warts and acne.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the salt of salicylic acid in the method of treating warts and acne since the use of the salt of the active agents renders the use of the active agents itself obvious. Furthermore, formulating the salicylate compounds into various conventional topical dosage forms is obvious as being within the purview of skilled artisan.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 9, 13, 18 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over US 4,995,591 ('591). '591 teaches it is known in the century that chromium, zinc, and copper, among other metallic salts as old and well-known for the treatment of warts (See col. 1, lines 59-68).

'591 does not expressly teach the dosage of the metallic salt compounds. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ the chromium, zinc, and/or copper salt, in an effective dosage, in a method of treating warts.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the chromium, zinc, and/or copper salt, in an effective dosage, in a method of treating warts. It is well-known that various metallic salts as useful and effective in treating warts. The optimization of result effect parameters (e.g., dosage range and dosing regimens) is obvious as being within the skill of the artisan.

Claims 1-21 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Form PCT ISA/237 (Box No. VI) (April 2005)